

### Item Statistics:

Sample Size	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.27	1.33	1.40	1.33	1.67	2.00	2.07
Variance	0.35	0.24	0.40	0.39	0.67	1.00	1.21
Standard Deviation	0.59	0.49	0.63	0.62	0.82	1.00	1.10
Standard Error	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.20	0.28
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Sum	19.00	20.00	21.00	20.00	25.00	30.00	31.00
Sum of Squares	29.00	30.00	35.00	32.00	51.00	74.00	81.00
Skewness	-12.01	-25.22	-13.41	-12.46	-10.51	-9.89	-8.20
Kurtosis	-55.53	-149.33	-64.31	-58.33	-46.50	-42.96	-33.40
T Value	8.26	10.58	8.57	8.37	7.91	7.75	7.28
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.27	1.33	1.40	1.33	1.67	2.00	2.07
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00
Inter Qrt. Range	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.81	0.96	0.91	0.86	1.04	1.23	1.22
Con. Interval (5%)	0.94	1.06	1.05	0.99	1.21	1.45	1.46
Con. Interval (95%)	1.60	1.60	1.75	1.68	2.12	2.55	2.68
Con. Interval (99%)	1.72	1.71	1.89	1.81	2.29	2.77	2.91

# Fall 2010/Somers-Willet/Enwr 212-01

Sample Size	14	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Number Missing	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	2.14	1.60	2.13	2.20	1.73	2.07	1.87	1.87	1.87
Variance	1.36	0.64	1.12	1.17	0.76	0.92	0.98	0.98	0.98
Standard Deviation	1.17	0.74	1.06	1.08	0.88	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.99
Standard Error	0.31	0.19	0.27	0.28	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Median	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Range	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Sum	30.00	24.00	32.00	33.00	26.00	31.00	28.00	28.00	28.00
Sum of Squares	82.00	46.00	84.00	89.00	56.00	77.00	66.00	66.00	66.00
Skewness	-8.33	-12.66	-10.08	-10.38	-9.33	-12.29	-8.28	-8.28	-8.28
Kurtosis	-37.22	-59.57	-43.93	-45.73	-39.64	-57.26	-33.80	-33.80	-33.80
T Value	6.87	8.41	7.79	7.87	7.60	8.33	7.30	7.30	7.30
Mean Abs. Dev.	2.30	1.60	2.13	2.20	1.73	2.07	1.87	1.87	1.87
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Inter Qrt. Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Con. Interval (1%)	1.20	1.03	1.32	1.37	1.05	1.33	1.11	1.11	1.11
Con. Interval (5%)	1.47	1.19	1.55	1.60	1.24	1.63	1.32	1.32	1.32
Con. Interval (95%)	2.82	2.01	2.72	2.80	2.22	2.60	2.42	2.42	2.42
Con. Interval (99%)	3.08	2.17	2.95	3.03	2.41	2.81	2.63	2.63	2.63

**Fall 2010/Somers-Willet/Enwr 212-01**

Sample Size	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	2.00	1.67	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.73	1.87	1.87	1.87	1.93
Variance	1.57	0.81	0.89	0.89	1.31	0.92	0.98	0.98	0.98	1.07
Standard Deviation	1.25	0.90	0.94	0.94	1.15	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.03
Standard Error	0.32	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.30	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Median	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Range	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Sum	30.00	25.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	26.00	28.00	28.00	28.00	29.00
Sum of Squares	82.00	53.00	61.00	61.00	67.00	58.00	66.00	66.00	66.00	71.00
Skewness	-5.02	-7.86	-8.65	-8.65	-4.79	-7.25	-8.28	-8.28	-8.28	-8.11
Kurtosis	-17.36	-31.54	-35.84	-35.84	-16.28	-28.33	-33.80	-33.80	-33.80	-32.89
T Value	6.18	7.17	7.41	7.41	6.08	6.98	7.30	7.30	7.30	7.25
Mean Abs. Dev.	2.00	1.67	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.73	1.87	1.87	1.87	1.93
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Inter Qrt. Range	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Con. Interval (1%)	1.04	0.98	1.08	1.08	0.92	0.99	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.14
Con. Interval (5%)	1.31	1.17	1.26	1.26	1.17	1.20	1.32	1.32	1.32	1.36
Con. Interval (95%)	2.69	2.16	2.32	2.32	2.43	2.27	2.42	2.42	2.42	2.51
Con. Interval (99%)	2.96	2.36	2.52	2.52	2.68	2.47	2.63	2.63	2.63	2.73




# Fall 2010/Somers-Willet/Enwr 212-01

Sample Size	15	15	15	15	15
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	2.00	1.67	2.93	2.00	1.80
Variance	1.86	1.10	0.21	1.33	0.46
Standard Deviation	1.36	1.05	0.46	1.15	0.68
Standard Error	0.35	0.27	0.12	0.32	0.17
Minimum	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Range	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	2.00
Sum	30.00	25.00	44.00	26.00	27.00
Sum of Squares	86.00	57.00	132.00	68.00	55.00
Skewness	-3.91	-4.99	-325.35	-7.68	-23.33
Kurtosis	-12.43	-17.23	-4517.33	-36.61	-134.55
T Value	5.68	6.17	24.82	6.24	10.31
Mean Abs. Dev.	2.00	1.67	2.93	2.31	1.80
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	2.00	2.00	0.00	1.50	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.95	0.86	2.58	1.02	1.28
Con. Interval (5%)	1.25	1.09	2.68	1.30	1.43
Con. Interval (95%)	2.75	2.25	3.19	2.70	2.17
Con. Interval (99%)	3.05	2.47	3.29	2.98	2.32


Item Analysis: The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject of the course.

Strongly Agree	1	12	80.00
Agree	2	2	13.33
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was always well prepared and organized for class.

Strongly Agree	1	10	66.67
Agree	2	5	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor gave clear and reasonable course objectives and requirements.

Strongly Agree	1	10	66.67
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor required a manageable amount of course work.

Strongly Agree	1	11	73.33
Agree	2	3	20.00
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor successfully clarified and explained difficult materials and topics.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	3	20.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor seemed to know when students did not understand something.

Strongly Agree	1	5	33.33
Agree	2	7	46.67
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	2	13.33
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor gave me helpful feedback on my work.

Strongly Agree	1	6	40.00
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	3	20.00
Disagree	4	2	13.33
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was available outside of class to speak with me.

Strongly Agree	1	5	33.33
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	4	26.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	1	6.67
Total Valid		14	93.33
Total Missing	-1	1	6.67
Total		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor created an atmosphere of mutual respect conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	5	33.33
Neutral	3	2	13.33
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor helped me integrate what I learned to my experiences outside class.

Strongly Agree	1	6	40.00
Agree	2	2	13.33
Neutral	3	6	40.00
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor returned written work promptly.

Strongly Agree	1	5	33.33
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	4	26.67
Disagree	4	2	13.33
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00



Item Analysis: Tests and assignments reflected what was taught.


Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	3	20.00
Neutral	3	4	26.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00






Item Analysis: Tests and assignments were graded fairly.

Strongly Agree	1	5	33.33
Agree	2	5	33.33
Neutral	3	4	26.67
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I became enthusiastic as a result of my instructors presentation.

Strongly Agree	1	7	46.67
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	3	20.00
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I was motivated to work hard by what we did in class.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	2	13.33
Neutral	3	2	13.33
Disagree	4	3	20.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I did not have trouble understanding when my instructor spoke.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	5	33.33
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I was encouraged to think critically about what we were studying.

Strongly Agree	1	7	46.67
Agree	2	5	33.33
Neutral	3	2	13.33
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I felt comfortable asking questions in class.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	2	13.33
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	1	6.67
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I was challenged intellectually by this class.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	2	13.33
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I felt that my instructor really cared about student progress.

Strongly Agree	1	7	46.67
Agree	2	4	26.67
Neutral	3	3	20.00
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I felt free to express myself in class.

Strongly Agree	1	7	46.67
Agree	2	3	20.00
Neutral	3	4	26.67
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I had my interest in the subject matter stimulated by this class.

Strongly Agree	1	8	53.33
Agree	2	3	20.00
Neutral	3	1	6.67
Disagree	4	2	13.33
Strongly Disagree	5	1	6.67
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I would recommend this course to other students.

Strongly Agree	1	10	66.67
Agree	2	1	6.67
Neutral	3	3	20.00
Disagree	4	1	6.67
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I am a (Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior, Graduate)

Freshman	1	0	0.00
Sophomore	2	2	13.33
Junior	3	12	80.00
Senior	4	1	6.67
Graduate	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00




Item Analysis: I missed class(es) during the semester.

1	1	5	33.33
2	2	5	33.33
3	3	2	13.33
4	4	0	0.00
5+	5	1	6.67
Total Valid		13	86.67
Total Missing		2	13.33
Total		15	100.00



Item Analysis: I expect my grade to be.

A	1	5	33.33
B	2	8	53.33
C	3	2	13.33
D	4	0	0.00
F	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		15	100.00





Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Good Course, Professor didn't really listen to others  
or try to understand other opinions though  
she did know the subject material very well.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

I really enjoyed Intro to Poetry w/  
Professor Somers-Willett. She is a great  
professor and really knows the subject.  
She is very helpful and very cool! I  
highly recommend her to others! One of  
the best her at Monclair.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

[illegible]

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Before taking this class I hated poetry and never wrote any before. I feel that I have been given great instruction and am now really interested in the topic!

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

I think Susan is knowledgeable and well-articulated. She has an enthusiasm about the subject that causes the class to be enthusiastic as well. It's a very discussion based class, which lends to a better understanding of the subject and a willingness to learn.



Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

The only suggestion I have would be to have more poetry assignments. Our Anthology textbook was hardly used, could have possibly done without purchasing said book. Great course & professor overall though!

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

My instructor for this course seemed to be well knowledgeable  
and skilled for the subject. Overall good instructor

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Cemetery assignment was one of the most  
intreging assignments I had in all  
4 years. She makes you open your  
mind to a whole new level of  
creativity you didn't know you  
had.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Dr. Somers-willet is incredibly knowledgable regarding poetry. She tries her best to help the students understand different elements ~~of~~ of writing poetry. her workshops are very helpful

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Great, enthusiastic teacher.



Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

A large rectangular box for writing feedback. A vertical line of small circles runs down the center of the box, likely for a binder. The box is empty, providing space for the student's response.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

She needs to help more with students when they reach at ~~for~~ her help, she = grades entirely unfair - some of us are not poets and we try our hardest and we shouldn't get a C because of quality when we are trying our best.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Ⓢ Making sure that students get their work back promptly can help them keep on track with their progress in the class as well as time management. Because students do take a lot of classes at once. Also <sup>professors should</sup> utilize blackboard.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

As far as ability to teach this subject, I believe she is fully qualified and knowledgeable.

However, the biggest suggestion I feel I could give, is for her to allow the student to write more. And through making mistakes, learn the dos and don'ts. ~~And~~ Instead of just teaching rules and writing minimally.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

She knows what she's talking about but sometimes it seems that there's not enough planned to fill a class. we dwell on things a little much. The more difficult aspects were hard and the way they were explained may have made it harder instead of easier.